Name	Public Description	Section
		/Column
Prevent service edit when staff association does not exist to program	Currently, if a user can see a service via the Service Detail screen, and they are able to edit the Service Detail screen, they are able to make edits to it regardless of whether this service is associated to one of their programs or not. We are requesting that if the service being created/edited is associated with a program that the user is not associated with, the user should not be able to save any changes. This would mean that Sys Admin and County-Level Billers would need to have ALL program associated with their accounts.	
CARE Act Hearing Notes - Add "N/A - No next court hearing"	This is a design change based on feedback from the county that originally designed these notes. They're finding that sometimes the court is dismissing the case in the middle of the CARE Process. If this occurs, there won't be a next court date. We will look into ways to address this instance (e.g. a new question, an option for "N/A"), but in the meantime, we are looking to briefly disable these validations.	Clinical Documentation/Workflows
Stanislaus: Diagnosis does not match Problem List	I'm able to see the problem list appropriately and able to select what problems I worked on during this session. But once I sign the document, the problems without a SNOMED don't seem to be showing up on the PDF. UPDATE: Fix confirmed in Prod 6/18/25	Clinical Documentation/Workflows
CalMHSA CARE Act Inquiry Report	This is a report for counties to gather information on CARE Act Inquiries, as gathered via the Inquiry Details screen. The information from this report will need to be entered/pasted into the CARE Act reporting workbook for section 4 on Inquiries.	Data Dictionary Version 2

Diagnosis search does not match Problem List search & blank description when no SNOMED code	The Problem List currently searches by SNOMED codes by default. Since California BH uses ICD-10 codes, we're asking Streamline to include the ICD-10 description as the primary description in the Problem List and to default the code search by ICD rather than SNOMED. This should also address the issues where the ICD-10 code does not have a corresponding SNOMED code. The SNOMED description will still be visible if desired, but the ICD-10 description will take center-stage. This was part of December 2024 development.	
Service Request - List pages don't seem to be working accurately (workgroup issue)	Known Issue: List pages don't seem to be showing the correct results. List pages don't match widget. Some of this is related to workgroups, as it doesn't seem to be filtering by assigned staff or workgroup correctly. Streamline is working on a fix. UPDATE 5/21/25: This is in design	Compliance
Service Request - List pages don't seem to be working accurately (workgroup issue)	Known Issue: List pages don't seem to be showing the correct results. List pages don't match widget. Some of this is related to workgroups, as it doesn't seem to be filtering by assigned staff or workgroup correctly. Streamline is working on a fix. UPDATE 5/21/25: This is in design	Urgent Issues
Merge clients - inquiries are not included in the merge	Streamline has confirmed that when using the merge client feature, inquires are not currently included. CalMHSA feels this is a design flaw and is requesting that when 2 clients are merged, any inquiries that are associated with the client being removed be re-associated with the client being kept. No other changes to the inquiry or Client Information should be made (keep historical inquiry information, don't re-push info from the inquiry into the Client Information screen).	Clinical Documentation/Workflows

Client Information: ROI	CalMHSA has reported this as a bug.	Compliance
Log includes revoked	When a client revokes an ROI, the ROI	Compilario
ROI with end date of	is updated to have an end date of the	
today; risk for potential	revocation date, which defaults to today	
breach	unless the user changes it. On the	
	Client Information screen on the	
	Release of Information Log tab, any	
	ROIs with an end date of today are still	
	included in the "currently effective"	
	filter. This means that if a client	
	revoked the release of information	
	today, it will still show as effective on	
	the ROI Log. This represents a risk for	
	potential breach of information, and we	
	are stating that our expectation would	
	be that when a client revokes the ROI,	
	it is considered not effective and	
	therefore would be removed from the	
	effective ROI list.	
	UPDATE: is scheduled for May 2025	
	MSP	
O A - - - -	Managed Blocks by the first terms of the	0
General - Add ability to	We would like to be able to track all	Compliance,
track all changes made in the system	changes that occur in the system, including what user made the change	SysAdmin/System Level
iii iile systeiii	and when. Right now, this amount of	
	audit tracking would greatly impact	
	performance. However, we still see this	
	l'	
	as a need. We are currently in	
	as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations	
	as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel	
	as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel the ability to track changes is key to	
	as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel	
	as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel the ability to track changes is key to	
SI 2. BHIN 24-039 AB-	as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel the ability to track changes is key to quality assurance. This is the initiative to address: BHIN-	Other Documents, Reports,
2242 MCCP Care	as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel the ability to track changes is key to quality assurance.	Other Documents, Reports, State Requirements
	as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel the ability to track changes is key to quality assurance. This is the initiative to address: BHIN-AB-2242-MCCP-Care Coordination Plan for patients with involuntary holds.	•
2242 MCCP Care	as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel the ability to track changes is key to quality assurance. This is the initiative to address: BHIN-AB-2242-MCCP-Care Coordination Plan for patients with involuntary holds. We are reviewing this information and	•
2242 MCCP Care	as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel the ability to track changes is key to quality assurance. This is the initiative to address: BHIN-AB-2242-MCCP-Care Coordination Plan for patients with involuntary holds. We are reviewing this information and awaiting more information from state	•
2242 MCCP Care	as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel the ability to track changes is key to quality assurance. This is the initiative to address: BHIN-AB-2242-MCCP-Care Coordination Plan for patients with involuntary holds. We are reviewing this information and awaiting more information from state We will notify counties once there is a	
2242 MCCP Care Coordination Plan	as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel the ability to track changes is key to quality assurance. This is the initiative to address: BHIN-AB-2242-MCCP-Care Coordination Plan for patients with involuntary holds. We are reviewing this information and awaiting more information from state We will notify counties once there is a plan	State Requirements
2242 MCCP Care Coordination Plan SI 2. BHIN 24-039 AB-	as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel the ability to track changes is key to quality assurance. This is the initiative to address: BHIN-AB-2242-MCCP-Care Coordination Plan for patients with involuntary holds. We are reviewing this information and awaiting more information from state We will notify counties once there is a plan This is the initiative to address: BHIN-	State Requirements Other Documents, Reports,
2242 MCCP Care Coordination Plan SI 2. BHIN 24-039 AB- 2242 MCCP Care	as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel the ability to track changes is key to quality assurance. This is the initiative to address: BHIN-AB-2242-MCCP-Care Coordination Plan for patients with involuntary holds. We are reviewing this information and awaiting more information from state We will notify counties once there is a plan This is the initiative to address: BHIN-AB-2242-MCCP-Care Coordination	State Requirements
2242 MCCP Care Coordination Plan SI 2. BHIN 24-039 AB-	as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel the ability to track changes is key to quality assurance. This is the initiative to address: BHIN-AB-2242-MCCP-Care Coordination Plan for patients with involuntary holds. We are reviewing this information and awaiting more information from state We will notify counties once there is a plan This is the initiative to address: BHIN-AB-2242-MCCP-Care Coordination Plan for patients with involuntary holds.	State Requirements Other Documents, Reports,
2242 MCCP Care Coordination Plan SI 2. BHIN 24-039 AB- 2242 MCCP Care	as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel the ability to track changes is key to quality assurance. This is the initiative to address: BHIN-AB-2242-MCCP-Care Coordination Plan for patients with involuntary holds. We are reviewing this information and awaiting more information from state We will notify counties once there is a plan This is the initiative to address: BHIN-AB-2242-MCCP-Care Coordination Plan for patients with involuntary holds. We are reviewing this information and	State Requirements Other Documents, Reports,
2242 MCCP Care Coordination Plan SI 2. BHIN 24-039 AB- 2242 MCCP Care	as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel the ability to track changes is key to quality assurance. This is the initiative to address: BHIN-AB-2242-MCCP-Care Coordination Plan for patients with involuntary holds. We are reviewing this information and awaiting more information from state We will notify counties once there is a plan This is the initiative to address: BHIN-AB-2242-MCCP-Care Coordination Plan for patients with involuntary holds. We are reviewing this information and awaiting more information from state	State Requirements Other Documents, Reports,
2242 MCCP Care Coordination Plan SI 2. BHIN 24-039 AB- 2242 MCCP Care	as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel the ability to track changes is key to quality assurance. This is the initiative to address: BHIN-AB-2242-MCCP-Care Coordination Plan for patients with involuntary holds. We are reviewing this information and awaiting more information from state We will notify counties once there is a plan This is the initiative to address: BHIN-AB-2242-MCCP-Care Coordination Plan for patients with involuntary holds. We are reviewing this information and	State Requirements Other Documents, Reports,

		lii ei
Service Request List	In order to best protect screen records	Urgent Issues
Pages - Need a way to	that can't be assigned to a specific	
Allow Sys Admins to See	program like documents are, we	
Records NOT Created	designed the list pages to only show	
By/Assigned to	records created by or assigned to the	
Themselves	logged in user or their supervisees.	
	However, there is a need for	
	administrative staff to be able to see	
	any and all records that were created.	
	Example: a system administrator needs	
	to be able to view a request that a user	
	put in and is assigned to a billing staff	
	who is on vacation. They need to be	
	able to see this record to troubleshoot	
	it.	
	In the meantime, we recommend that	
	any staff who needs to oversee or	
	troubleshoot these issues be added as	
	a supervisor to the people they're	
	overseeing.	
C-SSRS - Need	We have heard that many counties use	Clinical
	the C-SSRS documents but are having	Documentation/Workflows
option	an issue when a client refuses to	
op.io.i	complete the assessment. One county	
	reached out to Columbia to confirm the	
	appropriate practice when a client was	
	unable or unwilling to continue when	
	mid-way through a screening. In	
	response to Columbia's feedback, we	
	are requesting that a checkbox be	
	added on each C-SSRS document that	
	indicates that the client was	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the assessment. Checking this box will	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the assessment. Checking this box will remove the validations requiring the	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the assessment. Checking this box will remove the validations requiring the rest of the document to be completed	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the assessment. Checking this box will remove the validations requiring the rest of the document to be completed and will add a notation on the PDF	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the assessment. Checking this box will remove the validations requiring the rest of the document to be completed and will add a notation on the PDF indicating why some questions are not	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the assessment. Checking this box will remove the validations requiring the rest of the document to be completed and will add a notation on the PDF indicating why some questions are not answered. We are also requesting that	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the assessment. Checking this box will remove the validations requiring the rest of the document to be completed and will add a notation on the PDF indicating why some questions are not answered. We are also requesting that when this change is made it be applied	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the assessment. Checking this box will remove the validations requiring the rest of the document to be completed and will add a notation on the PDF indicating why some questions are not answered. We are also requesting that when this change is made it be applied to any in-progress C-SSRS documents	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the assessment. Checking this box will remove the validations requiring the rest of the document to be completed and will add a notation on the PDF indicating why some questions are not answered. We are also requesting that when this change is made it be applied to any in-progress C-SSRS documents so that users will not have to delete the	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the assessment. Checking this box will remove the validations requiring the rest of the document to be completed and will add a notation on the PDF indicating why some questions are not answered. We are also requesting that when this change is made it be applied to any in-progress C-SSRS documents so that users will not have to delete the in-progress document and start over.	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the assessment. Checking this box will remove the validations requiring the rest of the document to be completed and will add a notation on the PDF indicating why some questions are not answered. We are also requesting that when this change is made it be applied to any in-progress C-SSRS documents so that users will not have to delete the in-progress document and start over. While the C-SSRS forms are not	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the assessment. Checking this box will remove the validations requiring the rest of the document to be completed and will add a notation on the PDF indicating why some questions are not answered. We are also requesting that when this change is made it be applied to any in-progress C-SSRS documents so that users will not have to delete the in-progress document and start over.	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the assessment. Checking this box will remove the validations requiring the rest of the document to be completed and will add a notation on the PDF indicating why some questions are not answered. We are also requesting that when this change is made it be applied to any in-progress C-SSRS documents so that users will not have to delete the in-progress document and start over. While the C-SSRS forms are not	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the assessment. Checking this box will remove the validations requiring the rest of the document to be completed and will add a notation on the PDF indicating why some questions are not answered. We are also requesting that when this change is made it be applied to any in-progress C-SSRS documents so that users will not have to delete the in-progress document and start over. While the C-SSRS forms are not required by DHCS, we understand that	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the assessment. Checking this box will remove the validations requiring the rest of the document to be completed and will add a notation on the PDF indicating why some questions are not answered. We are also requesting that when this change is made it be applied to any in-progress C-SSRS documents so that users will not have to delete the in-progress document and start over. While the C-SSRS forms are not required by DHCS, we understand that many of our counties use them, and	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the assessment. Checking this box will remove the validations requiring the rest of the document to be completed and will add a notation on the PDF indicating why some questions are not answered. We are also requesting that when this change is made it be applied to any in-progress C-SSRS documents so that users will not have to delete the in-progress document and start over. While the C-SSRS forms are not required by DHCS, we understand that many of our counties use them, and therefore are taking steps to ensure	
	unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the assessment. Checking this box will remove the validations requiring the rest of the document to be completed and will add a notation on the PDF indicating why some questions are not answered. We are also requesting that when this change is made it be applied to any in-progress C-SSRS documents so that users will not have to delete the in-progress document and start over. While the C-SSRS forms are not required by DHCS, we understand that many of our counties use them, and therefore are taking steps to ensure	

[Inpatient] - [Inpatient] - Enhancement: Add	Inpatient/Residential/CSU
Enhancement: Add Administrative/Acute Column to	inpatient/residential/600
Administrative/Acute Bedboard [EXTERNAL]	
Column to Bedboard	
[EXTERNAL]	
	State Reporting
interpreter fields to information to Inquiry. Language and	State Reporting
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Inquiry Details screen translation service tracking is required for the NACT.	
	Cyc A design/Cycatage Layed
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	SysAdmin/System Level
(example: figure out a program, you can't add staff to the	
way to quickly add more program in the program set-up. You	
than 1 staff/user to a new have to go to each staff/user profile and	
program) add the new program manually. This is	
very tedious and time consuming.	
There are numerous other examples of	
not being able to work at scale. This	
includes being able to add multiple	
programs to a user's account at once	
but having to remove programs one at	
a time. We're trying to make these	
types of processes more user-friendly	
and efficient.	
This is on Streamline's roadmap for	
2026.	
2020.	
Add a School Name field Received a request to add a school	Patient Administration
to the Custom Fields tab name field in Client Information. This	
of Client Information would use a global code category that	
counties would populate with local	
schools. This is likely a low-hanging	
fruit type request.	
	Data Dictionary Version 2
CARE Version 3 (Data adjustments to CARE Act reporting	Data Dictionary Version 2
Dictionary V2.0) once the DHCS Data Dictionary version	
2.0 has been finalized, which is	
expected to be in Feb 2025.	
CARE Act: Need chility CARE Act: Need chility to man state	Design Changes v4 F /Cl
	Design Changes v1.5 (SL
, ,	Version 2 Rollout)
Client Info to CARE Act multi-to-one	
multi-to-one	
, I	
	D 1 01 1 7 7 7 7 1
CARE Act CPT Crosswalk: HK Modifier	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Crosswalk: HK Modifier isn't present in the CARE Act Services	Design Changes v1.5 (SL Version 2 Rollout)
Crosswalk: HK Modifier isn't present in the CARE Act Services isn't present in the CARE to CPT Codes Details screen	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Crosswalk: HK Modifier isn't present in the CARE Act Services to CPT Codes Details screen Act Services to CPT	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Crosswalk: HK Modifier isn't present in the CARE Act Services isn't present in the CARE to CPT Codes Details screen	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

CalMHSA/Fresno: Workflow for Medical Residents/NPs/PAs writing notes and getting Attending's approval/attestation [EXTERNAL]	CalMHSA/Fresno: Workflow for Medical Residents/NPs/PAs writing notes and getting Attending's approval/attestation [EXTERNAL]	Medical
CARE Act: Additional development not related to state requirements	CARE Act: Additional development not related to state requirements	Original Design/Request Tickets
ALL COUNTIES - Coordinated Care Consent (and ROI) in Spanish (and other languages)	With all legal forms, we need to provide the document in the client's language. If someone only speaks Spanish, they can't be expected to understand a document in English and agree to something. We've had the form translated and are using a paper version to provide the client with the information in their language. We then scan that in and associate it with the SmartCare version. That is a workaround, and we are hoping to get these forms in multiple languages in SmartCare.	
Add configuration key "DisplayOrRemoveRevo kedROIInReleaseOfInfor mationLog"	Add configuration key "DisplayOrRemoveRevokedROIInRelea seOfInformationLog"	TBD
Ventura, Enhancement Request - Screen to track AOT Client Referrals	Ventura, Enhancement Request - Screen to track AOT Client Referrals	TBD
Test flow with Referral screen once Care Coordination goes live	Test flow with Referral screen once Care Coordination goes live	TBD
Hold County shared- decision-making meeting to determine what is missing	Hold County shared-decision-making meeting to determine what is missing	TBD
Diagnosis search does not match Problem List search & blank description when no SNOMED code QA Testing	Diagnosis search does not match Problem List search & blank description when no SNOMED code QA Testing	
Diagnosis search does not match Problem List search & blank description when no SNOMED code Prod Testing	Diagnosis search does not match Problem List search & blank description when no SNOMED code Prod Testing	TBD

County Shared Decision Making Meeting - Reviewer Process	County Shared Decision Making Meeting - Reviewer Process	TBD
Send recording from meeting + deck	Send recording from meeting + deck	TBD
Current Reviewer Process, Update with Workflow on the website	Current Reviewer Process, Update with Workflow on the website	TBD
Global Codes for role, select procedure codes education	Global Codes for role, select procedure codes education	TBD
Article for Sys Admin: How to turn Reviewer Process for Document or Service Note	Article for Sys Admin: How to turn Reviewer Process for Document or Service Note	TBD
Article on End User/Trainee: Document/Note Requires Reviewer	Article on End User/Trainee: Document/Note Requires Reviewer	TBD
Article on End User/Supervisor: Supervisor/Attending Reviewer Process	Article on End User/Supervisor: Supervisor/Attending Reviewer Process	TBD
Request that checkbox be removed for sync to Dev Team Re:Reviewer Process	Request that checkbox be removed for sync to Dev Team Re:Reviewer Process	TBD
Use Case (from Tulare): Client requests amendment to a service note but the original clinician no longer works here.	Use Case (from Tulare): Client requests amendment to a service note but the original clinician no longer works here.	TBD
Kern, Enhancement Request: Psych Note Attestation for Attendings to this ticket	Kern, Enhancement Request: Psych Note Attestation for Attendings to this ticket	TBD
Schedule County Decision Making Meeting	Schedule County Decision Making Meeting	TBD
Write up the list of scenarios to send to counties for review prior to this meeting	Write up the list of scenarios to send to counties for review prior to this meeting	TBD
Procedure codes set up - pending with Roskana/Lisa	Procedure codes set up - pending with Roskana/Lisa	TBD
Rates set up with Khristy/Andrew	Rates set up with Khristy/Andrew	TBD

Fix the short term work	Fix the short term work around for	TBD
around for	trainees/supervisor whenever Khristy	
trainees/supervisor	finishes set up for billing	
whenever Khristy		
finishes set up for billing		

Priority 1-10
1
1
1
1
ı

3	2	2	2

3	3	3	3

3			
5			
•			

5		
5		
8		
10		
TBD		
TBD		
TBD		

TBD		
TBD		

TBD	
TBD	

TBD			