

## Initiatives Report

Name	Public Description	Section/Column	Priority 1-10
CARE Act Reporting - Item 3.11.5 missing on report spreadsheet	A data point is missing in the CARE Act report (item 3.11.5). This item is present on multiple tabs. For current reporting, which requires a copy/paste into the DHCS document, we recommend that this column be entered manually.	Fixed Issued Pending Deployment to Prod	1
Initializations for Active CARE Act Documents are Clearing out on Save	This is related to selecting the CARE Episode. Before the Fix: Users experienced a delay between selecting 'OK' in the pop-up and the completion of the initialization process. Unaware of the background activity, they often clicked the save button prematurely, resulting in blank data being saved. After the Fix: A 'Processing' loader was introduced, displayed immediately after clicking 'OK' on the pop-up, and remaining visible until the data is fully initialized. This loader provides clear feedback to users, indicating that the system is still fetching and loading data in the background.	Fixed Issued Pending Deployment to Prod	1
BUG - Date format design for CARE Ep	Known Issue: The dates in the CARE Act documents use format MM/DD/YYYY. However, when selecting the CARE Episode within the CARE Act Reporting Document, the format is DD/MM/YYYY. We are requesting that Streamline fix this ASAP to avoid confusion.	Urgent Issues	1
Need CARE Act Narrative Note	This was reported as a bug by a county. The current CARE Act procedure codes use the Narrative Note, which is used in other non-CARE Act procedure codes and is therefore permissioned to all user roles. CalMHSA will create a new CARE Act Narrative note in order to limit permissions to only the CARE Act Add On user role. UPDATE: scheduled to be deployed to QA on 12/2. CalMHSA will test and then plan to deploy to Prod on 12/8. Should be available in Prods on 12/9.	Urgent Issues	1
Prevent service edit when staff association does not exist to program	Currently, if a user can see a service via the Service Detail screen, and they are able to edit the Service Detail screen, they are able to make edits to it regardless of whether this service is associated to one of their programs or not. We are requesting that if the service being created/edited is associated with a program that the user is not associated with, the user should not be able to save any changes. This would mean that Sys Admin and County-Level Billers would need to have ALL program associated with their accounts.	Other	1
Update Productivity Reports with CARE ACT codes		Design Changes	2
BUG - CARE Act Reporting Document - Other Reporting> Substance Use> Secondary Substance Use Validation Error	Known Issue: When a user is completing an Active CARE Act Reporting Document, in the Substance Use section, if they select "None" as the Secondary Substance of Choice, the frequency for this goes away, per design. However, the validation remains that this field is required.	Fixed Issued Pending Deployment to Prod	2
Service Request - List pages don't seem to be working accurately (workgroup issue)	Known Issue: List pages don't seem to be showing the correct results. List pages don't match widget. Some of this is related to workgroups, as it doesn't seem to be filtering by assigned staff or workgroup correctly. Streamline is working on a fix.	Urgent Issues	2
Service Request - List pages don't seem to be working accurately (workgroup issue)	Known Issue: List pages don't seem to be showing the correct results. List pages don't match widget. Some of this is related to workgroups, as it doesn't seem to be filtering by assigned staff or workgroup correctly. Streamline is working on a fix.	Other	2
General - Add ability to track all changes made in the system	We would like to be able to track all changes that occur in the system, including what user made the change and when. Right now, this amount of audit tracking would greatly impact performance. However, we still see this as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel the ability to track changes is key to quality assurance.	Compliance	3
Service Request List Pages - Need a way to Allow Sys Admins to See Records NOT Created By/Assigned to Themselves	In order to best protect screen records that can't be assigned to a specific program like documents are, we designed the list pages to only show records created by or assigned to the logged in user or their supervisees. However, there is a need for administrative staff to be able to see any and all records that were created. Example: a system administrator needs to be able to view a request that a user put in and is assigned to a billing staff who is on vacation. They need to be able to see this record to troubleshoot it. In the meantime, we recommend that any staff who needs to oversee or troubleshoot these issues be added as a supervisor to the people they're overseeing.	Design Changes	3
(PDev) CARE Act Claiming Report - Update total column to also round to the nearest .25 hour	The CARE Act Claiming Report is used for reimbursement of CARE Act activities. DHCS indicates that time must be rounded to the nearest 0.25 hours. This is already the case on the report, except for the total staff time. This request is to change the column for total staff time to also round to the nearest 0.25 hours.	Non-Urgent Issues	3
General - Add ability to track all changes made in the system	We would like to be able to track all changes that occur in the system, including what user made the change and when. Right now, this amount of audit tracking would greatly impact performance. However, we still see this as a need. We are currently in compliance with all tracking regulations regarding client chart access, but feel the ability to track changes is key to quality assurance.	Other	3
Client Information: ROI Log includes revoked ROI with end date of today; risk for potential breach	CalMHSA has reported this as a bug. When a client revokes an ROI, the ROI is updated to have an end date of the revocation date, which defaults to today unless the user changes it. On the Client Information screen on the Release of Information Log tab, any ROIs with an end date of today are still included in the "currently effective" filter. This means that if a client revoked the release of information today, it will still show as effective on the ROI Log. This represents a risk for potential breach of information, and we are stating that our expectation would be that when a client revokes the ROI, it is considered not effective and therefore would be removed from the effective ROI list. UPDATE: is scheduled for May 2025 MSP	Other	3

## Initiatives Report

Name	Public Description	Section/Column	Priority 1-10
Merge clients - inquiries are not included in the merge	Streamline has confirmed that when using the merge client feature, inquiries are not currently included. CalMHSA feels this is a design flaw and is requesting that when 2 clients are merged, any inquiries that are associated with the client being removed be re-associated with the client being kept. No other changes to the inquiry or Client Information should be made (keep historical inquiry information, don't re-push info from the inquiry into the Client Information screen).	Other	3
C-SSRS - Need "Unknown/Didn't Answer" option	<p>We have heard that many counties use the C-SSRS documents but are having an issue when a client refuses to complete the assessment. One county reached out to Columbia to confirm the appropriate practice when a client was unable or unwilling to continue when mid-way through a screening. In response to Columbia's feedback, we are requesting that a checkbox be added on each C-SSRS document that indicates that the client was unable/unwilling to complete the screen and that this checkbox may be selected at any given time during the assessment. Checking this box will remove the validations requiring the rest of the document to be completed and will add a notation on the PDF indicating why some questions are not answered. We are also requesting that when this change is made it be applied to any in-progress C-SSRS documents so that users will not have to delete the in-progress document and start over.</p> <p>While the C-SSRS forms are not required by DHCS, we understand that many of our counties use them, and therefore are taking steps to ensure they can use them fully.</p>	Other	5
Add language and interpreter fields to Inquiry Details screen	Add Language and Translator information to Inquiry. Language and translation service tracking is required for the NACT.	Other	5
Reviewer Process	This is the process in which documents must first be reviewed by a supervisor before being marked complete in the system. This includes the Resident/Attending process. This also includes a service not billing until the Reviewer has signed.	Other	5
Calendar - There's no blocked time for appointments that aren't visible to a CDAG	When someone tries to schedule for a staff member who works in a CDAG outside their own, they may not be able to see all the staff's availability, since some appointments will be hidden from view. We are working with Streamline to figure out a solution for this, such as having a "Service Exists" block to show when a service outside the user's CDAG exists on a staff's calendar.	Other	6
Reviewer Process	This is the process in which documents must first be reviewed by a supervisor before being marked complete in the system. This includes the Resident/Attending process. This also includes a service not billing until the Reviewer has signed.	Other	5
TADT - Add general comments field to TADT documents	Request to add a comments text field that is not tied to other logic to the various Timeliness Record documents. The concept is that special circumstances could be described in this comment box to assist with review process when TADT must be compiled.	2025 Updates Needed	
Make CARE Act Reporting Document editable by non-authors	Made the CARE Act Reporting Document editable by non-authors, as this is not a clinical document. This should allow reporting staff to help address errors. These staff currently do not have access to the CARE Act Reporting Document screen, but this will be discussed in this week's CARE Act Reporting training.	Configuration	
Figure out method of tracking Inquiries related to CARE Act	<p>CalMHSA is working on a method to track CARE Act Inquiries. CalMHSA presented this method to counties during the State Reporting Office Hours on 12/19/24. Counties agreed to move forward with this.</p> <p>Global Code:            InquiryType - Change "Information about CARE Act" to "CARE Act Inquiry"            Referral Type - Add "CARE Act Inquiry Source" with subcodes for all items currently in the draft data dictionary v2.0 section 4.2.2            PresentingProblem - Add all items currently in the draft data dictionary v2.0 section 4.2.3 with "CARE" in the name to help distinguish from other presenting problems            InquiryDisposition - Add "CARE Act Inquiry: Action Taken" with subcodes for all items currently in the draft data dictionary v2.0 section 4.2.4</p>	Data Dictionary Version 2	
Short-term method of tracking CARE Act Referrals via Inquiry	<p>CalMHSA is working on a method to track CARE Act Referrals. In the meantime, CalMHSA presented a short-term method to counties during the State Reporting Office Hours on 12/19/24. Counties agreed to move forward with this.</p> <p>Global Code:            InquiryType - Already have "CARE Act Referral"            ReferralType - Add "CARE Act Referral Source" with subcodes for all items currently in the draft data dictionary v2.0 section 5.5.1            InquiryDisposition - Add "CARE Act Referral Outcome" with subcodes for all items currently in the draft data dictionary v2.0 section 5.5.3</p>	Data Dictionary Version 2	
Overarching ZD ticket for CARE Version 3 (Data Dictionary V2.0)	CalMHSA will work to make adjustments to CARE Act reporting once the DHCS Data Dictionary version 2.0 has been finalized, which is expected to be in Feb 2025.	Data Dictionary Version 2	
Service Request - Sending request back for more information requires multiple fields that shouldn't need to be required	When sending a Service Request back for additional information, the system is requiring the additional information also be entered. The only required information should be "Reason for requesting more information". Basically, the idea behind sending something back to the requester is that the approver cannot move forward with the processing of the authorization until more information is provided.	Design Changes	
CARE Act: Additional development not related to state requirements		Original Design/Request Tickets	

## Initiatives Report

Name	Public Description	Section/Column	Priority 1-10
CARE Act: Need ability to map global codes from Client Info to CARE Act multi-to-one		SL Version 2 Rollout	
CARE Plan/Agreement: Add radio button for "CARE Plan" v. "CARE Agreement"		SL Version 2 Rollout	
CARE Act CPT Crosswalk: HK Modifier isn't present in the CARE Act Services to CPT Codes Details screen		SL Version 2 Rollout	
TADT Updates	UPDATE: CalMHSA held a county shared decision-making meeting about this on 9/25/24. The required changes have already been deployed to production systems (any fields that were missing from the current documents). No further changes will be developed until counties provide feedback. Feedback can be given by emailing <a href="mailto:EHR@calmhsa.org">EHR@calmhsa.org</a> .	Other	
AOT	CalMHSA was hoping that AOT needs would be met via the Care Coordination process. Since the Care Coordination process has been put on hold, we're re-starting this as a separate and distinct project. As we learn more about AOT needs, we may create separate tasks as needed.	Other	
Stanislaus: Diagnosis does not match Problem List	I'm able to see the problem list appropriately and able to select what problems I worked on during this session. But once I sign the document, the problems without a SNOMED don't seem to be showing up on the PDF.	Other	